



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,554	11/10/2005	Peter Saggau	2310-00102 CWS	3557
23505	7590	04/02/2009	EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.			SHERALI, ISHKRAT I	
David A. Rose			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P. O. BOX 3267			2624	
HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/02/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pathou@conleyrose.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/531,554	Applicant(s) SAGGAU ET AL.
	Examiner Sherali Ishrat	Art Unit 2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 13 and 15-20 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/9/2007 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. Supreme Court precedent¹ and recent Federal Circuit decisions² indicate that a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. While the instant claim(s) recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, the claim(s) neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. For example independent claim 15 should recite similar to independent claim 1 "using a computer/processor/central processing unit to control light deflector and addressable spatial filter. Claims 16-20 are dependent on claim 15 therefore they are also rejected.

¹ *Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876).

² *In re Bilski*, 88 USPQ2d 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008).

Art Unit: 2624

3. Claims 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because they recite object comprising optical recording which non statutory because object comprising optical recording is non-functional.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knebel et al. (US 6,687,035) in view of Sampas (US 6,750,963).

Regarding claim 15, Kneble discloses selecting one site of interest on object (Fig. 1 , col. 4, lines 15-20, shows selecting one site of interest on object);

high speed defector to illuminate one site of interest (Fig. 1 , col. 4, lines 10-15, shows high speed defector to illuminate one site of interest);

spatial filter to record the light that is fluoresced reflected or transmitted (Fig. 1 , col. 4, lines 10-12 and 20-25, shows spatial filter to record the light that is fluoresced reflected or transmitted); and

recording the light is fluoresced reflected or transmitted (Fig. 1 , col. 4, lines 25-30 shows recording the light is fluoresced reflected or transmitted using a display).

Art Unit: 2624

Kneble has shown spatial filter as discussed above however has not explicitly shown addressable spatial filter.

In the same field endeavor sampa has shown addressable spatial filter (Sampas col. 9, lines 7-18, sampas shows programmable addressable spatial filter).

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use programmable addressable spatial filter in the system of Kneble as shown by Sampas because such a filter is necessary for addressing different dye.

Regarding claim 16 Kneble disclose selection and illumination of plurality site is performed sequentially (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-55, illumination of plurality site is performed sequentially

Regarding claim 20 Kneble shows optical recording (Fig. 1 , col. 4, lines 25-30 shows recording the light is fluoresced reflected or transmitted using a display)

Regarding claims 17-19 Kneble shows high frequency deriving device (col. 54, lines 12-14). However Kneble has not explicitly shown steps are performed at 500, 1000, 25000/n Hz. However trying to perform the steps at various frquencies would be obvious).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-12 and 14 are indicated allowable over prior art of record.

Communication

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SherAli Ishrat whose telephone number is 571-272-7398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sherali Ishrat/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

March 22, 2009